

## Lecture 2

Lecturer: Bo Waggoner

Scribe: Bo Waggoner

## Depth First Search

These notes give more formal proofs of topics covered in class. They are also examples of how homework solutions could be written.

## 1 Definitions

Recall that a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  has a set of vertices  $V$  and edges  $E$ . We usually say  $|V| = n$  and  $|E| = m$ . We represent an edge  $e \in E$  as an ordered pair  $e = (u, v)$ . Recall that if  $G$  is *undirected*, then whenever  $u$  and  $v$  have an edge between them, it's true both that  $(u, v) \in E$  and  $(v, u) \in E$ .

Recall that a *path* in  $G$  is a sequence of vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_k$ , where each vertex  $v_i \in V$ , such that for each pair of consecutive vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$ , there is an edge  $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ . The *length* of a path is the number of edges in the path, i.e. number of vertices minus one.

A *cycle* is a path whose first and final vertex are the same. A path is *simple* if it does not contain any vertex more than once, and a cycle is *simple* if it does not contain any vertex more than once except the start/end vertex, and does not re-use any edges. (Note in an undirected graph,  $(u, v)$  and  $(v, u)$  are considered the same edge.)

In an undirected graph, we say  $v$  is a *neighbor* of  $u$  in a graph if there is an edge  $(u, v)$ ; and the *degree* of  $u$  is the number of neighbors it has.

In a directed graph, we usually say  $v$  is a *neighbor* of  $u$  if either edge  $(u, v)$  or edge  $(v, u)$  or both are present. In this case, the *out-degree* of  $u$  is the number of edges from  $u$  to some other vertex, and the *in-degree* is the number of edges from some other vertex to  $u$ .

## 2 Reachability

The Reachability problem is: given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  and two vertices  $s, t$ , output True if there is a path from  $s$  to  $t$ , False otherwise.

We will suppose  $G$  is represented as an adjacency list.

Question: design an algorithm for this problem and prove its correctness and running time.

**Algorithm 1** DFS-Reachability

- 
- 1: Input: Graph  $G = (V, E)$ , vertices  $s, t$
  - 2: Define array is\_marked of length  $n$
  - 3: Set is\_marked[ $v$ ] = False for  $v = 1, \dots, n$
  - 4: Call DFS-explore( $s$ )
  - 5: Return is\_marked[ $t$ ]
- 

**Subroutine 2** DFS-explore( $v$ )

- 
- 1: Set is\_marked[ $v$ ] = True
  - 2: **for** each neighbor  $w$  of  $v$  **do**
  - 3:   **if** is\_marked[ $w$ ] == False **then**
  - 4:     DFS-explore( $w$ )
  - 5:   **end if**
  - 6: **end for**
-

**Correctness - proof sketch:** We show that the algorithm outputs True if and only if there is a path from  $s$  to  $t$ . The algorithm outputs True if and only if  $t$  is marked at some point, which occurs if and only if we call  $\text{DFS-explore}(t)$  at some point. This is true if and only if  $\text{DFS-explore}(v)$  was called on some  $v$  where there is an edge  $(v, t)$ . This is true if and only if either (1)  $v = s$  or (2)  $\text{DFS-explore}(u)$  was called on some vertex  $u$  where there is an edge  $(u, v)$ . By repeating this argument for  $u$  and so on, we see that  $\text{DFS-explore}(t)$  is called if and only if there is a sequence of vertices starting at  $s$  and ending at  $t$ , for example  $s, u, v, t$ , such that there is an edge between each pair of consecutive vertices: a path from  $s$  to  $t$ .

**Note.** The above is not a fully formal proof, but would be a good proof sketch to give on a homework. A fully formal proof would use e.g. induction.

**Running time - proof sketch:** The body of  $\text{DFS-Reachability}$  takes  $O(n)$  time, as it only needs to initialize the length- $n$  array, call  $\text{DFS-explore}$ , and look up  $t$  in the array.

For  $\text{DFS-explore}$ , we first argue it is called at most once per vertex, so at most  $n$  times. This follows because we only call it on unmarked vertices, and every time we call it, we immediately mark  $v$ .

We analyze  $\text{DFS-explore}$  carefully by looking at each line and asking how many times it executes total over the entire course of the algorithm (this is the idea behind “amortized analysis”). Line 1 executes once per call, and we argued it is called at most  $n$  times, so this contributes  $O(n)$  to the running time.

The body of each **for** loop, lines 3-5, each contribute a constant amount of operations. And the **for** loop executes once per edge out of  $v$ , in other words, the total amount of work in the **for** loop is  $O(\text{out-degree}(v))$ . Over the course of the entire algorithm, this totals at most

$$O\left(\sum_{v \in V} \text{out-degree}(v)\right) = O(m)$$

where  $m$  is the number of edges.

So we have shown that the algorithm’s total running time is at most  $O(n) + O(m) \in O(n + m)$ .

### 3 Topological sort

A *directed, acyclic graph (DAG)* is a directed graph that has no cycles.

A permutation (or ordering)  $\pi$  of the vertices is a function where  $\pi(1)$  is the first vertex in the ordering,  $\pi(2)$  is the second, etc.

A *topological sort* of a DAG  $G = (V, E)$  is a permutation  $\pi$  such that every edge in the graph points forward, i.e., for all edges  $(u, v) \in E$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(u) < \pi^{-1}(v)$ . In other words,  $u$  must be located prior to  $v$  in the ordering.

Here  $\pi^{-1}(u)$  is inverse function of  $\pi$ , which gives the location of  $u$  in the ordering.<sup>1</sup> When we plug a location into  $\pi$ , it gives us a vertex. When we plug the vertex into  $\pi^{-1}$ , it tells us the location.

---

#### Algorithm 3 DFS-Topo

---

```

1: Input: Graph  $G = (V, E)$ , vertices  $s, t$ 
2: Define array is_marked of length  $n$ 
3: Set is_marked[v] = False for  $v = 1, \dots, n$ 
4: Create list  $A$ , initially empty
5: for each vertex  $v$  do
6:   if is_marked[v] == False then
7:      $\text{DFS-explore-2}(v)$ 
8:   end if
9: end for
10: Return  $A$ 

```

---

<sup>1</sup>Thanks to a student for mentioning that, in lecture, I forgot to write the inverse signs. -Bo.

---

**Subroutine 4** DFS-explore-2( $v$ )

---

```
1: Set is_marked[ $v$ ] = True
2: for each neighbor  $w$  of  $v$  do
3:   if is_marked[ $w$ ] == False then
4:     DFS-explore-2( $w$ )
5:   end if
6: end for
7: add  $v$  to beginning of list  $A$ 
```

---

**Algorithm description - note for homework.** If this were a homework problem, then given that we have covered DFS in class and in the textbook chapter, the following would be a good description of the algorithm. “We do a depth-first search from all vertices in order, skipping them if they are already marked. We maintain a list  $A$ , initially empty. When the depth-first-search visits a vertex, after iterating through all of its neighbors, we add it to the beginning of the list  $A$ .”

**Correctness - proof sketch.** We argue that every vertex is added to  $A$  exactly once, so it is a permutation. Then we argue that it is a topological sort, i.e. all edges point forward.

First, we add a vertex  $v$  to  $A$  only when we call DFS-explore-2( $v$ ). We only call DFS-explore-2( $v$ ) at most once per vertex, because we only call it if  $v$  is unmarked and then we immediately mark  $v$ . Finally, we call it for every vertex because of the **for** loop in DFS-Topo (line 5). So  $A$  is a permutation.

Now, consider any edge  $(v, w)$ . We must argue that  $v$  is added to the list  $A$  *after*  $w$  is added to the list. Then,  $v$  will be earlier in the list than  $w$ .

At some point, we call DFS-explore-2( $v$ ). During the for loop, we reach neighbor  $w$ . There are two cases. If  $w$  is already marked, then we have already called DFS-explore-2( $w$ ) and it has completed. So  $w$  has already been added to list  $A$ . If  $w$  is not already marked, then we call DFS-explore-2( $w$ ) now and wait for it to complete. Then,  $w$  will have been added to list  $A$ . Only after this loop do we add  $v$  to the beginning of  $A$ , so in either case  $v$  is before  $w$  in the list.

**Running time - proof sketch.** We use the previous DFS analysis. Here, we have added a **for** loop to DFS-Topo (line 5). However, the total work done in DFS-Topo is still  $O(n)$ , since we execute the loop  $n$  times. Furthermore, it is still true that DFS-explore-2 is called at most  $n$  times, and the analysis of its running time in lines 1-5 is the same, so the total work done is still at most  $O(n + m)$ . We now need to consider the amount of work required to build the list  $A$ . One implementation is to build it backwards: make  $A$  an array, and add each new vertex to the *end* of the array. This takes  $O(1)$  time per addition, so  $O(n)$  work total. Then, at the end of the algorithm, we write  $A$  onto the output array in reverse, which takes  $O(n)$  time. So the total running time is still  $O(n + m)$ .